

<i>Goal</i>	<i>Specific questions</i>	<i>Indicators</i>	<i>Sources</i>	<i>Methods</i>	<i>Note</i>
1. Participation of NCIPD in the process of preparation, implementation and evaluation of the results of public policies in the field of people with disabilities in Bulgaria.	1.1. To what extent is the activity of the NCIPD focused on consulting activities (analyzes, studies, public campaigns, advocacy campaigns, etc.) related to the development and implementation of public policies in the field of people with disabilities in Bulgaria? To what extent has the NCIPD been able to involve stakeholders in the public policy-making process? Is there a system for regular monitoring and evaluation of public disability policies?	No. studies, no. analyzes, pcs. research, no. expert meetings, round tables, discussions; pcs. public policy initiatives; pcs. lobbying and advocacy campaigns; pcs. meetings with stakeholders; pcs. participation in the legislative process; pcs. conducted monitoring and evaluation for a given period.	NCIPD organizational documents and archives. Members of NCIPD team. Organizations, members and non-members of NCIPD.	Legal analysis. Content analysis. In-depth interviews. Direct observation.	Full access to NCIPD's organizational documents and archives is required.
	1.2. To what extent does the NCIPD have the administrative and expert potential to perform consultative activities in the field of integration of people with disabilities in Bulgaria? Are there any practices for attracting external experts? Is there also a system for enhancing the NCIPD's expert potential?	No. state experts. Structure of NCIPD composition by level and field of education. No. and the dynamics of external experts involved. No. systems for increasing the expert potential. No. conducted assessments of expert potential. No. good practices introduced.	NCIPD organizational documents and archives. NCIPD website. Members of NCIPD.	Content analysis. In-depth interviews. Site analysis. Direct observation.	Access to the biographies of NCIPD members is required.

<p>2. Compliance with the principles of good governance in the activities of the NCIPD.</p>	<p>2.1. Does the organization, structure, regulatory framework governing the activities of the NCIPD all contain the prerequisites for adhering to the principles of good governance in the day-to-day work of the Council? Do the internal and external communications procedures set out in the organizational documents follow the principles of good governance? Do the values embodied in the NCIPD organizational documents follow the logic of good governance?</p>	<p>No. references to the principles of good governance in organizational documents. No. texts that conflict with the principles of good governance? No. principles of good governance that are not covered by the NCIPD organizational documents.</p>	<p>NCIPD organizational documents and archives. NCIPD website.</p>	<p>Legal analysis. Content analysis. Site analysis.</p>	<p>Access to NCIPD organizational documents is required.</p>
	<p>2.2. Does the NCIPD comply with the principles of good governance in its real work? Is the NCIPD's activity sufficiently transparent? Are there mechanisms for power balance and mutual control? Are civil rights respected? Are there practices that guarantee transparency and accountability? Are all stakeholders involved in the political process? Is there feedback and a system for incorporating experience into subsequent decisions?</p>	<p>No. established good practices that follow the principles of good governance. No. practices that conflict with the principles of good governance. No. principles of good governance which are not presented in the activity of the NCIPD.</p>	<p>NCIPD organizational documents and archives. NCIPD website. Members of NCIPD. Organizations, members and non-members of NCIPD. A field journalist and academic expert.</p>	<p>Legal analysis. Content analysis. In-depth interviews. Site analysis. Direct observation.</p>	<p>The minutes of the NCIPD meetings are required to be accessed.</p>